

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—August 7, 1992

THE HOUSE WAS NOT IN SESSION TODAY. ITS NEXT MEETING WILL BE HELD ON MONDAY, AUGUST 10, 1992, AT 12 NOON.

## CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 5487

Pursuant to the order of August 7, 1992, Mr. WHITTEN submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 5487) making appropriations for Agriculture, rural development, Food and Drug Administration, and related agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1993, and for other purposes:

## CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 102-815)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 5487) making appropriations for Agriculture, rural development, Food and Drug Administration, and related agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1993, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments numbered 3, 5, 10, 31, 38, 41, 42, 43, 48, 57, 71, 75, 76, 78, 82, 86, 88, 91, 92, 95, 96, 97, 103, 109, 118, 122, 123, and 124.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 9, 12, 13, 20, 22, 26, 30, 32, 33, 34, 39, 44, 45, 49, 50, 51, 61, 62, 65, 66, 70, 77, 84, 85, 87, 89, 90, 100, 108, 111, 113, 115, 116, and 121, and agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 1:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 1, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$81,004,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 11:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 11, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$2,720,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 14:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 14, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$1,750,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 25:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 25, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$56,221,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 28:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 28, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$714,551,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 29:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 29, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$712,926,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 36:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 36, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$40,272,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 37:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 37, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$22,816,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 40:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 40, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$13,783,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 52:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 52, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$427,011,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 53:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 53, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$337,699,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 54:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 54, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$122,532,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 55:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 55, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$199,034,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 56:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 56, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$2,563,354,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 58:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 58, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$88,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 60:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 60, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$158,030,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 63:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 63, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$22,405,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 64:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 64, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$3,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 68:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 68, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$390,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 79:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 79, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$404,746,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 81:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 81, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$4,242,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 93:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 93, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$12,389,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 94:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 94, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$3,423,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## Amendment numbered 104:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate num-

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

bered 104, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$28,115,357,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 107:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 107, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$45,280,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 110:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 110, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$342,003,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 112:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 112, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$40,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 117:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 117, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$147,734,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

The committee of conference report in disagreement amendments numbered 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 35, 46, 47, 59, 67, 69, 72, 73, 74, 80, 83, 98, 99, 101, 102, 105, 106, 114, 119, and 120.

JAMIE L. WHITTEN,  
MATTHEW F. MCHUGH,  
WILLIAM H. NATCHER,  
RICHARD J. DURBIN,  
MARC KAPTUR,  
DAVID E. PRICE,  
R.J. MRAZEK,  
NEAL SMITH,  
JOE SKEEN,  
JOHN T. MYERS,  
VIN WEBER,  
BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH,  
JOSEPH M. MCDADE,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

QUENTIN N. BURDICK,  
DALE BUMPERS,  
TOM HARKIN,  
BROCK ADAMS,  
WYCHE FOWLER, Jr.,  
J. ROBERT KERREY,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
ROBERT W. KASTEN, Jr.,  
ARLEN SPECTER,  
DON NICKLES,  
CHRISTOPHER S. BOND,  
MARK O. HATFIELD,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

#### JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 5487) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1993, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the

managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

#### CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES

The conferees agree that executive branch wishes cannot substitute for Congress' own statements as to the best evidence of congressional intentions—that is, the official reports of the Congress. The conferees further point out that funds in this Act must be used for the purposes for which appropriated, as required by section 1301 of title 31 of the United States Code, which provides: "Appropriations shall be applied only to the objects for which the appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law."

Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate, and Senate report language which is not changed by the conference are approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

#### TITLE I—AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS

##### PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, AND MARKETING

##### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The conferees note that of the \$1,750,000,000 appropriated for disaster assistance in 1992, \$755,000,000 has not been made available to farmers because the President has not requested its release. These funds are available for disasters in 1990, 1991, and 1992. During these years, disasters have struck farmers in nearly every part of the country. The conferees strongly urge the Secretary of Agriculture to request the President to release the remaining funds as soon as possible in order to assist farmers facing financial hardships.

##### ADVISORY COMMITTEES (USDA)

The conference agreement does not earmark funding for USDA Advisory Committees. The distribution of these funds is left to the discretion of the Secretary. The conferees expect the Secretary to advise the Committees on Appropriations as to the level provided each advisory committee.

The conferees believe the Agricultural Science and Technology Review Board is an essential component of the Joint Council on Food and Agricultural Sciences, as described in section 1605 of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990. The conferees, therefore, urge the Review Board to be implemented as a part of the Joint Council should the Secretary decide to continue the operation of the Joint Council.

##### NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE

Amendment No. 1: Appropriates \$81,004,000 for the National Agricultural Statistics Service instead of \$80,941,000 as proposed by the House and \$81,066,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Included in the amount are funds to conduct a sheep-on-feed report. The conferees expect the Department to maintain compilation for and production of all reports and publications that were issued in 1992.

##### ALTERNATIVE AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND COMMERCIALIZATION

Amendment No. 2: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$7,250,000.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates \$7,250,000 for Alternative Agricultural Research and Commercialization instead of \$4,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,000,000 to the Revolving Fund as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides for the designation of two centers.

##### AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

The Senate report directs the Agricultural Research Service to use available funds to conduct a site analysis for the construction of an aquaculture research center. The conferees agree that work may be continued on this project within the fiscal year 1992 funding level for the project.

##### BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 3: Appropriates \$34,514,000 for Federally owned facilities of the Agricultural Research Service as proposed by the House instead of \$23,210,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
<b>BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES</b>				
Arkansas: Rice Research Center, Stuttgart	729	729	829	702
California:				
U.S. Salinity Lab, Riverdale	5,300	4,700	2,350	3,980
Horticulture Crops Research Lab, Fresno to Parlier	(1)	(2)		(2)
Florida: Citrus Research Lab, Orlando	(3)	(2)	520	(2)
Georgia: Poultry Disease Lab, Athens	400		800	677
Hawaii: Tropical Pest Biology Center			(3)	(3)
Illinois: Northern Regional Research Center, Peoria	1,825	1,825		1,545
Iowa: National Pig Research Facility	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,524
Louisiana: Southern Regional Research Center	1,950	1,950		1,651
Maryland: Beltsville Agricultural Research Center	16,000	16,000	11,300	13,547
Michigan: Regional Poultry Research Center	250	250		212
Mississippi:				
National Center for Natural Products	5,175		4,163	4,382
National Center for Warm Water Aquaculture	1,100	1,100	1,100	931
New York: Plum Island Animal Disease Center	3,000	3,000		2,540
Ohio: Demonstration greenhouse	187	187		158
Oklahoma: Southern Plains Range Research Station, Woodward	173	173	173	146
Texas:				
Plant Stress Lab, Texas Tech. University	1,300	1,300		1,101
Subtropical Lab, Weslaco		(5)		(5)
Wisconsin: Cereal Crops Research Unit—Barley/Malt Lab	175		175	148
Miscellaneous:				
ARS facilities	(4)	1,500		1,270
Other ARS facilities	11,200			
<b>Total, buildings and facilities</b>	<b>50,564</b>	<b>34,514</b>	<b>23,210</b>	<b>34,514</b>

<sup>1</sup> Bill language to sell Fresno facility and use proceeds for new facility at Parlier.

<sup>2</sup> Funded under miscellaneous ARS facilities.

<sup>3</sup> Report language in FY 1991 and FY 1992 on relocating Orlando facility.

<sup>4</sup> Bill language on relocation of labs from Beltsville, France and Rome, Italy to Montpellier, France.

<sup>5</sup> Report requested.

<sup>6</sup> Includes funding in connection with facilities in Montpellier, France; Parlier, CA; and Orlando, FL.

##### COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE

##### SPECIAL RESEARCH GRANTS

Amendment No. 4: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$73,411,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$73,411,000 for special research grants instead



of \$57,688,000 as proposed by the House and \$61,612,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

[In thousands of dollars]				
	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
<b>SPECIAL RESEARCH GRANTS (P.L. 89-106)</b>				
Aflatoxin (IL)	134	134		134
Agricultural management (MS)	75	75	75	75
Agricultural diversification (HI)	154		154	154
Agricultural management systems (MA)	261		261	261
Agricultural processing (GA)			50	50
Agricultural trade (ND)	350		350	350
Alfalfa (KS)	125		125	125
Alternative cropping systems (Southeast)	278		278	278
Alternative crops (ND)	700		700	700
Alternative marine and fresh water species (MS)	275	275	275	275
Alternative pest control (AR)	1,400		1,400	1,400
Alternative to dinoseb (OR)	225	225		225
Animal waste disposal (MI)	120	120		120
Apple quality research (MI)	94	94		94
Aquaculture (general)	316	316		316
Aquaculture (Stoneville)	700	700	700	700
Aquaculture research (IL)	200	200	200	200
Aquaculture (LA)	390	390	390	390
Asparagus yield decline (MI)	94	94		94
Babcock Institute (WI)	75	75	75	75
Bean and beet (MI)	189	189		189
Beef carcass evaluation and identification (IA, NY, GA, TX, IL)	210	210		210
Beef fat content (IA)	237	237	237	237
Biodesign research (MO)			50	50
Broom snakeweed (NM)	200	200	200	200
Canola (KS)	100		100	100
Celery fusarium (MI)	39	39		39
Center for animal health and productivity (PA)				134
Center for rural studies (VT)	37		37	37
Chesapeake Bay aquaculture	437	437	437	437
Competitiveness of agricultural products (WA)	800	800	800	800
CONSOIL (WI)	25	25	121	75
Controlled environment production systems (PA)	240	240		240
Cool season legume research (ID, WA)	387	387	387	387
Cottonseed extraction and oil refining (TX)	75	75		75
Cranberry/blueberry disease and breeding (NJ)	260	260	260	260
CRP acreage usage (MO)	50			
Dairy goat research (TX)	75	75		75
Delta rural revitalization (MS)	175	175	175	175
Dogwood anthracnose (GA, NC, TN)	137	137	137	137
Dried bean (ND)	100		100	100
Eastern filbert blight (OR)	85	85	85	85
Enhanced livestock production (ND)	250			
Environmental research (NY)	575	575		575
Ethanol research (AR)	175			
Expanded wheat pasture (OK)	337	337	337	337
Export development (KY)	227	227	227	227
Farm computer technology (GA)			100	100
Farm and rural business finance (IL, AK)	125	125	225	125
Fish marketing (OR, RI)	340	340	340	340
Floriculture (HI)	296		296	296
Food and Agriculture Policy Institute (IA, MO)	750	750	750	750
Food irradiation (IA)	237	237	237	237
Food marketing policy center (CT)	393	393	393	393
Food processing center (NE)	50		50	50
Food safety consortium (AR, KS, IA)	1,942		1,942	1,942
Food systems research group (WI)	261	261	165	261
Forest marketing (VT, NH)	50		50	50
Genetic engineering of plants (OH)	240			
Grasshopper biocontrol (ND)	2,000	2,000		2,000
Great Plains agricultural policy center (KS, OK)	75		75	75
Human nutrition research (NY)	100		100	100
Human nutrition research (IA)	735	735	735	735
Human nutrition research (IA)	500	500	600	500
Human nutrition (LA)	800	800	800	800
Improved dairy management practices (PA)	335	335		335
Integrated pest management	4,457	4,457	4,457	4,457
Integrated production systems (OK)	193		190	190
International livestock program (KS)	94			
Iowa biotechnology consortium	1,953		2,000	2,000
Irrigation/fish production (AR)	167			
Kansas facility study	50			
Leafy spurge biocontrol (MT)	125			

(In thousands of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
<b>Livestock and dairy policy (NY, TX)</b>				
Lowbush blueberry research (ME)	185	185	185	185
Low-input agriculture (MN)	230	230		230
Maple research (VT)	99		99	99
Mechanical tomato harvester (PA)	134			134
Mesquite and prickly pear (TX)	100			100
Michigan institute	2,358	2,358		2,358
Midwest agricultural products (IA)	700	700	700	700
Midwest plant biotechnology consortium	2,865	2,865		2,865
Milk safety (PA)	284		184	184
Milkweed research (NE)	80			80
Mink research (OR)	46			46
Minor crop pest control (HI)	285		285	285
Minor use animal drugs (IR-4)	464	464	464	464
Mosquito research (AR, CA, LA, MS, TX)	453			453
Multi-commodity research (OR)			300	300
Multi-cropping strategies for aquaculture (HI)	150		150	150
National biological impact assessment	300	300	300	300
Nematode resistance genetic engineering (NM)	150	150	150	150
New uses for agricultural products (OH)	140	140		140
Non-food agricultural products (NE)	110	110	110	110
Oil from jojoba (NM)	200	200	200	200
Oregon—Mass. biotechnology	537	537		537
Peach tree short life (SC)	192	192	192	192
Perishable commodities (GA)			250	250
Pest control alternatives (SC)	125		125	125
Pesticide clearance (IR-4)	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
Pesticide impact assessment	2,968	2,968	2,968	2,968
Pesticide research (WA)	667	667	667	667
Phytophthora root rot (NM)	150	150	150	150
Potato research	1,435	1,435	1,435	1,435
Potato utilization (ND)			250	250
Poultry (GA)	172		516	516
Preservation and processing research (OK)	282		267	267
Procerum root disease (VA)	25	25		25
Product development and marketing center (ME)	221		221	221
Red River Corridor (MN, ND)	200	200	200	200
Regional barley gene mapping project	412	412	412	412
Regionalized implications of farm programs (MO, TX)	348	348	348	348
Rural development centers (PA, IA, ND, MS, OR)	500	500	500	500
Rural economic development (GA)	744			744
Rural environmental research (IL)	125	125		125
Rural housing needs (NE)			170	80
Rural policies institute (AR, NE, MO)	525		727	692
Russian wheat aphid (WA, OR, CA)	437	437	437	437
Safflower research (ND, MT)	250		250	250
Sandhills grazing management practices (NE)	99			99
Seafood and aquaculture harvesting, processing, and marketing (MS)	361	361	361	361
Seafood research (OR)			540	327
Small fruit research (OR, WA, ID)	187	187	187	187
Soil and water research (OH)				240
Southwest consortium for plant genetics and water resources	400	400	400	400
Soybean bioprocessing (IA)	275		328	328
Soybean cyst nematode (MO)	359	359	359	359
STEEP II—water quality in Northwest	980	980	980	980
Stone fruit decline (MI)	283	283		283
Subirrigation research (MI)	531	531		531
Sunflower insects (ND, SD)	200		200	200
Sustainable agriculture and natural resources (PA)			100	100
Sustainable agriculture systems (NE)	70		70	70
Swine research (MN)	140	140		140
TCK smut (wheat)	250	250	250	250
Technology transfer development (IA)	100			100
Tropical and subtropical	3,320	3,320	3,320	3,320
Urban pests (GA)	76		76	76
Water conservation (KS)			94	94
Water conservation (NV)	200	200	200	200
Water management (AL)	398		398	398
Water quality	9,000	9,000	9,000	8,950
Weed control (ND)	500		500	500
Wheat genetic research (KS)	159		159	159
Wheat marketing (OR)	300			300
White mold research (OH)	55	55		55
Wild rice research (MN)	88	88	88	88

(In thousands of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Wood utilization research (OR, MS, MI)	2,852	2,852	5,599	4,153
Wool research (TX)	250	250		250
World food systems (IN, OH)	368	368	368	368
Total, Special Research Grants	73,130	57,688	61,612	73,411

\*The Conference agreement provides funds only for the Mass. biotechnology project.

**Wood utilization research.**—Three national wood utilization research centers were established in 1985 through Public Law 89-106. Complementary centers, established at Oregon State University, Mississippi State University, and Michigan State University, support wood utilization and harvesting research on western conifers, southern pine, and eastern hardwoods, respectively.

These centers grew out of recommendations in a 1983 report by the Office of Technology Assessment which concluded that the United States could greatly expand its role in world forest products trade, but that the forest products industry lags behind other basic industries in research expenditures. Without some way to improve technologies for harvesting and utilizing wood, the United States will miss the opportunity to satisfy both domestic and a major share of future global forest product requirements.

The conference agreement provides a total of \$4,153,000 for wood utilization research. Included in this total is \$2,852,000 for the ongoing research program in wood utilization research carried out at the three existing centers. In addition to the ongoing program, the conference agreement provides for a new national program funded at \$1,301,000. The conferees note support for the University of Maine's Forest Products Research and Technology Transfer Center and North Carolina State University's Wood Machining and Tooling Education/Research Program and urge that their applications be considered.

**Soil and water research.**—The conference agreement includes \$240,000 for soil and water research at the University of Toledo. This research will be carried out in conjunction with the proposed University of Toledo Lake Erie Research and Education Center. This research will be an in-depth analysis of the lake watershed interface and relationships that currently exist between agricultural practices, lake water quality, and ecological conditions in the Western Basin of Lake Erie.

**Animal health and productivity research.**—The conference agreement also includes \$134,000 for the Center for Animal Health and Productivity of the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture. These funds will be used for research to develop less costly and more efficient feedstock for dairy cows by reducing the amounts of nitrogen and other chemicals.

**Rural Policy Research Institute.**—The conferees agree that \$167,000 of the funds provided to the Rural Policy Research Institute shall be used to establish the rural health care access pilot project in southeast Arkansas to be coordinated by the University of Arkansas and Arkansas Children's Hospital.

Amendment No. 5: Provides \$1,168,000 for supplemental and alternative crops and products as proposed by the House instead of \$500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$668,000 to continue research on guar and \$500,000 to continue research on crambe and winter rapeseed.

Amendment No. 6: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides \$400,000 for State agricultural weather information systems. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 7: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$20,795,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$20,795,000 for Federal Administration of the Cooperative State Research Service instead of \$19,170,000 as proposed by the House and \$20,045,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Con- ference agree- ment
<b>FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION</b>				
Shrimp aquaculture (Hawaii and Mississippi) .....	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
Mississippi Valley State University .....	668	668	668	668
Maize genetics research center (ND) .....	400	400	400	400
Ag in classroom .....	208	208	208	208
Agricultural biotechnology .....	400	400	400	400
Peer panels .....	260	260	260	260
Office of grants and program systems .....	334	334	334	334
Alternative fuels characterization lab (ND) .....	250	250	250	250
Pay costs and FERS .....	550	550	550	550
Center for Agricultural and Rural Development (IA) .....	750	750	750	750
Herd management (TN) .....	475	475	475	475
1890 capacity building .....	10,250	10,250	10,250	10,250
Vocational aquaculture education .....	500	500	500	500
Water quality <sup>1</sup> .....	1,250	750	500	1,250
Geographic information system .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Subtotal, Federal Administration .....	20,795	19,170	20,045	20,795

<sup>1</sup> Included \$500,000 (ND), \$750,000 (IL).

The conferees expect the Cooperative State Research Service to evaluate the activities of the Geographic Information System National Office to determine if those functions could be more effectively performed by the satellite offices. The Cooperative State Research Service should report its findings to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Amendment No. 8: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$430,143,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates \$430,143,000 for the Cooperative State Research Service instead of \$412,395,000 as proposed by the House and \$416,926,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 9: Appropriates \$52,101,000 for the Cooperative State Research Service, Buildings and Facilities as proposed by the Senate instead of \$33,611,000 as proposed by the House. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Con- ference agree- ment
<b>BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES</b>				
Alabama: Wallace State Junior College Wellness Center .....			(1)	(1)
Arkansas:				
Center for Alternative Pest Control .....	500	500		
Livestock Research and Activity Complex .....			(1)	(1)
Poultry and Isolation Facility, University of Arkansas/Fayetteville .....	250			
Poultry Center of Excellence .....	3,050		3,200	3,189
Arizona: Agriculture research complex-environmental stress lab .....	100	100	1,100	1,100
California:				
Alternative pest control containment and quarantine, University of California .....	207	207		178
Grape Importation Facility, University of California at Davis .....	1,609	675	675	582
Colorado: Animal Reproduction and Biotechnology, Colorado State University .....		(1)		(1)
Delaware: Poultry Biocontainment .....			(1)	(1)
Florida: Biotechnology Institute, University of Florida-Alachua .....	840	320	320	276
Georgia:				
Agricultural Livestock Poultry Facility .....	(1)			
Biocontainment Research Center, University of Georgia .....	425	425		
Center for Advanced Water Technology, Savannah .....	136		436	376
Center for Rural Health and Epidemiology, Georgia Southern University .....			(1)	(1)
National Laboratory for Environmentally Sound Production Agriculture—Tifton .....	1,775		1,500	1,293
Vidalia Onion Storage Res Facility .....	225		225	194
Hawaii: Center for Tropical/Subtropical Agriculture .....	3,842		3,842	3,311
Idaho: Biotechnology Facility .....	500		500	431
Illinois:				
Biotechnology Center, Northwestern University .....	600	600	600	517
National Soybean Laboratory, University of Illinois .....	1,987			
Indiana: Molecular and Cellular Biotechnology Facility .....	2,750	2,500		2,155
Iowa: Trade Marketing Center .....				(1)
Kansas: Throckmorton Plant Science Center, Kansas State University .....	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,353
Louisiana:				
Fish Processing Center .....			(1)	(1)
Red meat processing center .....			150	(1)
Maine:				
Presque Isle Farm Building Consolidation .....		900		775
Wood processing facilities .....			(1)	(1)
Maryland: Institute for Natural Resources and Environmental Science, University of Maryland .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	862
Massachusetts: Center/hunger, poverty, nutrition and policy .....	562	562	562	484
Michigan: Food Toxicology Center, Michigan State University .....	10,394	5,356		4,616
Mississippi: Biological Technology Center for Water and Wetlands Resources .....	100	100	100	86
Missouri:				
Bennett Living and Learning Center, Lincoln University .....	145			
Meat Science and Safety Center .....			145	(1)
Bio-Sciences Research Center, University of Missouri .....				(1)
Montana: Bioscience Research Laboratory, Montana State University .....	1,062	1,062	1,062	915
Nebraska: Center for Advanced Technology, University of Nebraska .....	4,500			
Nevada: Biochemistry and Biology, University of Nevada .....	250	250		215
New Jersey: Plant Bioscience Facility, Rutgers University .....	3,044	3,044	3,044	2,623

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Con- ference agree- ment
New Mexico: Center for Arid Land Studies, New Mexico State University .....		(1)	(1)	(1)
New York:				
Cornell Research Greenhouse .....	375	375		375
New York Botanical Garden .....	1,350	1,350	4,725	3,697
North Carolina:				
Biotechnology Facility .....	1,450			
Bowman-Gray Center at Wake Forest .....	1,825	1,825	4,275	3,684
North Dakota:				
Animal Care Facility, North Dakota State University .....	250			
Engineering and Biomechanics Building .....	(1)			
Food Processing Pilot Plant—NCI .....	375		375	375
Inst/Ag Health Science and Rural Medicine, University of North Dakota .....	4,381		2,400	1,864
Inst/Ag and Rural Human Research Development, Minot State University .....	240		2,250	1,939
Seed Research and Regulatory Facility, North Dakota State University .....	500		500	431
Ohio:				
Lake Erie Soil and Water Research and Education Center .....			(1)	(1)
Plant Science Research Facility, University of Toledo .....	275	275		237
Oklahoma:				
National Center for Bovine/Equine Biotechnology .....	225			(1)
Beef Cattle Research Facility .....			(1)	(1)
Oregon:				
Regional Food Innovation Center .....	(1)			
Seafood Center, Oregon State University .....	217	217	2,117	1,824
Pennsylvania: Center for Food Marketing, St. Joseph's University .....	2,710	2,710	2,710	2,336
Rhode Island: Building consolidation, University of Rhode Island .....	500		500	431
South Dakota: Northern Plains Bioresearch Laboratory, South Dakota State University .....	1,515	1,015	1,015	875
Tennessee:				
Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Research Complex, University of Tennessee in Knoxville .....	925	925	925	797
Horticulture Public Service Research and Education Center (Middle Tennessee State University) .....			(1)	(1)
Nursery Crop Research Station .....	426		426	367
Texas:				
Inst/Biosciences and Technology, Texas A&M .....	3,860	700	700	603
Southern crop improvement, Texas A&M .....			(1)	(1)
Utah: Biotechnology Laboratory, Utah State University .....	764		764	658
Virginia: Agriculture Biotechnology Facility, Virginia Polytechnic Institute .....	1,021	1,021	1,021	880
Washington: Animal Disease Biotechnology Facility, Washington State University .....	2,120	2,120	2,620	2,258
Wisconsin:				
Agriculture Biotechnology/Genetics Facility, University of Wisconsin/Madison .....	7,393	2,507	2,507	2,161
College of Natural Resources, University of Wisconsin—Stevens Point .....	(1)		100	86
Wyoming: Environmental Simulation Facility, University of Wyoming .....	500	500	500	431
Miscellaneous: Fund for reports .....	150	300	240	260
Total, Buildings and facilities .....	74,770	33,611	52,101	52,101

<sup>1</sup> Report requested.

Center for Alternative Pest Control.—Due to budgetary constraints, no funding is pro-



vided for the Center for Alternative Pest Control, University of Arkansas, in fiscal year 1993, but the conferees expect to transfer this project to the Agricultural Research Service next year for completion, using Federal and State funds already made available.

#### EXTENSION SERVICE

Amendment No. 10: Restores House language and provides \$3,557,000 for the urban gardening program. The Senate proposed to delete funding for this program.

Amendment No. 11: Provides \$2,720,000 for the farm safety and rural health programs instead of \$2,470,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,970,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 12: Amends a Public Law citation as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 13: Deletes "under section 3(d) of the Act" in connection with the authorization for the Renewable Resources Extension Act as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 14: Provides \$1,750,000 for payments for Indian reservation agents instead of \$1,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 15: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$1,000,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 for payments to establish and operate centers of rural technology instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 for a rural technology grant authorized by section 2347 of Public Law 101-624. The grant would go to the Co-op Development Foundation for the purpose of enabling such institutions to establish and operate centers for rural technology or cooperative development. The centers will conduct and fund research, training, and education activities to provide the informational base necessary to create a new generation of rural cooperatives that diversify agriculture and rural opportunities, deliver housing, telecommunications, health care, education, and employment.

The conferees also note that a number of these activities are taking place in centers such as those under the direction of the Co-op Development Foundation located in Arkansas, Washington, Ohio, North Carolina, Iowa, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Georgia, Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Missouri, Texas, Mississippi, Kentucky, South Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, West Virginia, and California; with the potential for more States to become involved.

Amendment No. 16: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$1,000,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 for payments for outreach and assistance for socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 17: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of

the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides \$2,000,000 for payments for rural health and safety education. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 18: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$414,500,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates \$414,500,000 for the Extension Service, excluding Federal Administration, instead of \$410,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$413,443,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$255,000, the same as the amount available for fiscal year 1992, within the total for the Youth-at-Risk Program, for a joint outreach program between Southwest State University and the Minnesota Extension Service instead of \$300,000 as proposed by the House.

The Alice Aycock Poe Center for Health Education in Raleigh, North Carolina, is a new facility constructed and operated by a nonprofit organization with \$3,400,000 in private funds. The Center utilizes five unique teaching theaters, state-of-the-art exhibitry, and experienced health educators to present age-appropriate programs in general health, nutrition, family life, drug education, and dental health. The Center offers programs to 70,000 students in eastern and central North Carolina and is on contract with Wake County (Raleigh) schools to conduct health education programs. Included within the total for the WIC nutrition education program are funds for the purpose of completing one of the five teaching theaters—the nutrition classroom theater—which involves purchase and installation of state-of-the-art exhibitry, and for related "pass-arounds" and handouts related to the WIC program.

Amendment No. 19: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$10,428,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates \$10,428,000 for Federal Administration of the Extension Service instead of \$7,928,000 as proposed by the House and \$9,501,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Con- ference agree- ment
<b>FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION AND SPECIAL GRANTS</b>				
General administration .....	5,181	5,181	4,981	5,181
Pilot tech. transfer (OK, MS) .....	331	331	331	331
Pilot tech. transfer (WI) .....	165	165	165	165
Crambe/rapeseed (NE) .....	67			
Agricultural development Pacific (HI, GU, AS) .....	647	647	647	647
Pay costs .....	797	219	219	219
Project future (MN) .....	250	250		250
Rural rehabilitation (GA) .....	256		250	250
Crop simulation (MS) .....	498	498	498	498
Income enhancement demonstration (OH) .....	250	250		250
Rural education satellite downlink (PA) .....	285			
Rural development (NM) .....	230	230		230
Southern Kentucky feasibility study .....	50			

(In thousands of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Con- ference agree- ment
Rural development (NE) .....	200		200	200
Rural development (OK) .....	300		300	300
Rural education pilot (ND) .....	846		846	846
Presque Isle (ME) .....	187		187	187
Chinch bug/Russian wheat aphid project (NE) .....			70	67
Beef producers' improvement (AR) .....	200		200	200
Integrated cow/calf resources management (IA) .....	150		150	150
Rural health infrastructure (AL) .....	200		200	200
Home sewing (MS, SC, AI, OR) .....	157	157	157	157
Extension specialist (AR) .....	100		100	100
<b>Total, Federal Administration .....</b>	<b>11,347</b>	<b>7,928</b>	<b>9,501</b>	<b>10,428</b>

#### NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY

Amendment No. 20: Appropriates \$17,715,000 for the National Agricultural Library as proposed by the Senate instead of \$17,253,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 21: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that \$462,000 shall be available for the National Center for Agricultural Law Research and Information at the Leflar School of Law in Fayetteville, Arkansas. The House bill contained no similar provision.

#### ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 22: Appropriates \$432,900,000 for the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$430,939,000 as proposed by the House. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Con- ference agree- ment
<b>PEST AND DISEASE EXCLUSION</b>				
Agricultural quarantine inspection .....	20,425	22,217	22,217	22,217
User fees .....	85,362	83,362	83,362	83,362
<b>Subtotal, agricultural quarantine inspection .....</b>	<b>105,787</b>	<b>106,079</b>	<b>106,079</b>	<b>106,079</b>
Foot-and-mouth disease .....	3,891	3,891	3,891	3,891
Import-export inspection .....	9,918	8,000	8,000	8,000
International programs .....	4,498	4,675	4,498	4,675
Mediterranean fruit fly exclusion .....	10,052	10,213	10,052	10,213
Mexican fruit fly exclusion .....	1,164	1,700	1,164	1,700
Screwworm .....	34,011	34,645	34,011	34,645
<b>Total, pest and disease exclusion .....</b>	<b>169,321</b>	<b>169,203</b>	<b>167,695</b>	<b>169,203</b>
<b>PLANT AND ANIMAL HEALTH MONITORING</b>				
Animal disease detection .....	16,909	16,825	16,825	16,825
Animal and plant health regulatory enforcement .....	5,790	5,790	5,790	5,790
Fruit fly detection .....	3,941	3,941	3,941	3,941
Pest detection .....	3,976	3,976	3,976	3,976
<b>Total, plant and animal health monitoring .....</b>	<b>30,616</b>	<b>30,532</b>	<b>30,532</b>	<b>30,532</b>
<b>PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS</b>				
Animal damage control—operations .....	25,612	25,612	25,612	25,612
Biocontrol .....	5,149	4,924	4,599	4,599
Boll weevil .....	13,135	13,135	13,135	13,135
Brucellosis eradication .....	67,000	67,000	67,000	65,000
Cattle ticks .....	6,172	6,172	6,172	6,172
Golden nematode .....	862	862	651	862
Grasshopper .....	3,850		3,850	3,850

(In thousands of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1992 enacted	House bill	Senate bill	Con- ference agree- ment
Reserve fund .....	5,000		5,000	2,500
Gypsy moth .....	5,148	5,148	5,148	5,148
Honey bee pests .....	531	531	531	531
Imported fire ant .....	3,698	3,698	3,698	3,698
Miscellaneous plant and animal diseases .....	3,445	3,222	3,222	3,222
National poultry improve- ment plan .....	245	245	245	245
Noxious weeds .....	820	820	625	625
Pink bollworm .....	2,792	2,792	2,792	2,292
Poultry diseases .....	722	722	722	722
Pseudorabies .....	7,554	7,554	9,000	8,285
Russian wheat aphid .....	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
Salmonella enteritidis .....		3,400	3,400	3,400
Scrapie .....	846	1,846		846
Sweet potato whitefly .....		3,500	850	3,000
Swine health protection .....	3,586	3,586	3,586	3,586
Tuberculosis .....	3,738	5,338	3,738	4,738
Witchweed .....	5,386	5,386	5,386	5,386
Total, pest and dis- ease manage- ment programs .....	167,691	167,893	171,362	169,854
<b>ANIMAL CARE</b>				
Animal welfare .....	9,188	9,188	9,188	9,188
Horse protection .....	358	358	358	358
Total, animal care .....	9,546	9,546	9,546	9,546
<b>SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL SERVICES</b>				
ADC methods development .....	9,517	9,517	9,517	9,517
Biotechnology/environmental protection .....	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652
Integrated systems acqui- sition project .....	2,507	2,507	2,507	2,507
Plant methods development laboratories .....	5,025	5,025	5,025	5,025
Veterinary biologics .....	9,729	9,729	9,729	9,729
Veterinary diagnostics .....	14,335	14,335	14,335	14,335
Total, scientific and technical service .....	48,765	48,765	48,765	48,765
Contingency fund .....	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Total, salaries and expenses .....	430,939	430,939	432,900	432,900

The conferees agree that not less than \$3,430,000 of the reserve fund, the same as the amount provided for fiscal year 1992, be used to continue the grasshopper integrated pest management project in Idaho and North Dakota in 1993.

Amendment No. 23: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that none of the funds available to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service may be used to pay the salary of any Department veterinarian or Veterinary Medical Officer who, when conducting inspections at horse shows, exhibitions, sales, or auctions under the Horse Protection Act, relies solely on the use of digital palpation as the only diagnostic test to determine whether or not a horse is sore under such Act. The House bill contained no similar provision.

#### AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVE SERVICE

Amendment No. 24: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert: "Provided further, That, hereafter, funds made available to the Agricultural Cooperative Service shall be available for a field office in Hawaii."

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement amends Senate language providing for an Agricultural Cooperative Service field office in Hawaii. The

House bill contained no similar provision. The conferees expect that no less than \$99,000 will be allocated for this office.

#### AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE MARKETING SERVICES

Amendment No. 25: Appropriates \$56,221,000 for the Agricultural Marketing Service, Marketing Services instead of \$56,520,000 as proposed by the House and \$45,401,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree that the Agricultural Marketing Service may continue the Pesticide Data Collection Program at a reduced funding level and that it may carry out the activities of the Organic Foods Production Act. The conferees believe that all costs related to the Organic Foods Production Act, including Federal administrative costs, should be recovered from producers participating in the program.

The conferees urge the Agricultural Marketing Service to expand reporting for live lamb, to develop reports for lamb cutouts, boxed lamb, composite lamb prices, and by-products, and to cooperate with the National Agricultural Statistics Service in developing this information.

#### LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Amendment No. 26: Provides a limitation of \$55,953,000 on administrative expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$52,861,000 as proposed by the House.

#### FUNDS FOR STRENGTHENING MARKETS, INCOME, AND SUPPLY (SECTION 32)

Amendment No. 27: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that in fiscal years 1993 and 1994, section 32 funds shall be used to promote sunflower and cottonseed oil exports to the full extent authorized, and such funds shall be used to facilitate additional sales of such oils in world markets. The House bill contained no similar provision.

#### FARM INCOME STABILIZATION AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 28: Provides a total of \$714,551,000 for the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Salaries and Expenses instead of \$715,296,000 as proposed by the House and \$703,451,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 29: Appropriates \$712,926,000 for the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Salaries and Expenses instead of \$714,134,000 as proposed by the House and \$700,826,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 30: Provides for a transfer of \$1,036,000 from the Public Law 480 Program Account to the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Salaries and Expenses Account as proposed by the Senate instead of \$573,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 31: Deletes Senate language providing that funds shall be available for establishing and maintaining a National Appeals Division within the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service. The House bill contained no similar provision. The National Appeals Division was established in fiscal year 1992 and, therefore, the language is deleted.

#### CORPORATIONS

#### FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING EXPENSES

Amendment No. 32: Appropriates \$309,948,000 for the Federal Crop Insurance

Corporation, Administrative and Operating Expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$303,896,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees agree that the funds provided will be used to meet producer demand for crop insurance and to provide for appropriate computer support.

#### GENERAL SALES MANAGER

Amendment No. 33: Provides a total of \$8,866,000 for expenses of the General Sales Manager as proposed by the Senate instead of \$8,641,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 34: Provides for a transfer of \$1,467,000 from the Public Law 480 Program Account to the General Sales Manager Account as proposed by the Senate instead of \$1,242,000 as proposed by the House.

#### TITLE II—CONSERVATION PROGRAMS

#### SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

#### CONSERVATION OPERATIONS

The conferees expect the Department to give consideration to working with the American Society of Agronomy in developing a Crop Advisor Certification Program. Certification under this program should be possible for all qualified applicants, including crop consultants working independently with private firms or with cooperatives, U.S. Department of Agriculture personnel, agrichemical and fertilizer retailers, and agricultural producers.

The conferees urge the Department of Agriculture consider providing assistance to private landowners in improving and maintaining riparian zones in the upper watershed areas of the Columbia River tributaries. If these watersheds are properly treated, the results will include improved water quality and quantity. This, in turn, will promote improved salmon and steelhead habitat in the tributaries.

The conferees are aware of the serious problem that saltcedar causes in riparian areas of New Mexico, particularly along the Pecos River. Saltcedar originally was planted along the Pecos River for streambank stabilization and flood control in the early 1900's, but has spread to occupy more than 70,000 acres. The plant's ability to tap and exploit deep water tables is causing severe water shortages along the Pecos River. The conferees encourage the Soil Conservation Service to assist in the Pecos River native riparian restoration project that will demonstrate an economically and environmentally sound saltcedar control program, and to monitor hydrologic effects from saltcedar control and management.

The conferees urge that full Federal cost sharing (50 percent) will be provided and agree that such cost sharing should be provided for the rural water supply project known as the East Yellow Creek Watershed located in Sullivan, Linn, and Chariton Counties in Missouri. This expectation and agreement is consistent with the 1989 policy statement of the Department of Agriculture reaffirming such rural water cost-sharing policy where, as is true in the East Yellow Creek Watershed, the watershed area and rural communities therein lack a dependable water supply unrelated to water for future developments other than that for agricultural use phases of development.

The conferees are aware of the digital orthophotoquad (DOQ) mapping activities initiated by the Soil Conservation Service and the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service and encourage the continuation of the development of this program, with contributions and support from the Commodity Credit Corporation, as well as other Federal, State, and local agencies.



This program will provide highly accurate image base map information about land classification and farmland utilization, as well as help accelerate private sector contractor activity in DOQ services.

The conferees recognize the urgency of the Eastern Arkansas Water Conservation Demonstration Project in Poinsett County, Arkansas, and urge the Department to continue its implementation.

#### WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPERATIONS

Amendment No. 35: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert: "\$228,266,000, to remain available until expended (7 U.S.C. 2209b)".

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates \$228,266,000 for Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations instead of \$205,266,000 as proposed by the House and \$238,266,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes language which allows the funds to remain available until expended.

Amendment No. 36: Provides \$40,272,000 for the Public Law 534 program instead of \$36,091,000 as proposed by the House and \$42,091,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 37: Provides \$22,816,000 for emergency measures instead of \$20,028,000 as proposed by the House and \$24,028,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

##### AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Amendment No. 38: Appropriates \$194,435,000 for the Agricultural Conservation Program as proposed by the House instead of \$188,785,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees expect that the existing Agricultural Conservation Program regulations will be revised to expand on the Water Quality Incentives Program to conform to the direction of chapter 2 of subtitle D of title XII of the Food Security Act of 1985.

The conferees expect the Department to allow the Extension Service or other designated experts of the Department of Agriculture to review and approve Water Quality Incentive Program and Integrated Crop Management Program plans in accordance with best management practices.

Amendment No. 39: Provides \$15,000,000 for the Water Quality Incentives Program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$6,750,000 as proposed by the House.

#### COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROGRAM

Amendment No. 40: Appropriates \$13,783,000 for the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Program instead of \$14,783,000 as proposed by the House and \$12,783,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### WETLANDS RESERVE PROGRAM

Amendment No. 41: Deletes Senate language providing \$54,900,000 for the Wetlands Reserve Program. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees fully support the concept of the Wetlands Reserve Program and are disappointed that the Department has not provided the reports required by the House, Senate, and conference reports on the fiscal year 1992 Agriculture Appropriations Act. The conferees direct that these reports, along with a complete analysis of the fiscal year

1992 sign-up, be submitted to the appropriate committees of Congress by February 1, 1993. Pending the results of this information, the conferees expect the Department to consider submitting a supplemental appropriations request.

#### TITLE III—FARMERS HOME AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

##### FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

##### RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 42: Provides a total of \$1,624,500,000 for section 502 single-family housing loans as proposed by the House instead of \$1,495,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 43: Provides \$329,500,000 for section 502 unsubsidized guaranteed loans as proposed by the House instead of \$200,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 44: Provides \$573,900,000 for section 515 rental housing loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$500,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 45: Provides \$187,000,000 for credit sales of acquired property as proposed by the Senate instead of \$200,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 46: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that up to \$35,000,000 of the section 502 loan funds shall be made available for section 502(g), Deferral Mortgage Demonstration. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The following table reflects the conference agreement on the Rural Housing Insurance Fund loan levels:

#### LOAN LEVELS

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
RHIF loan levels:			
Low-income housing loans (sec. 502)	\$1,245,000,000	\$1,245,000,000	\$1,245,000,000
Unsubsidized direct loans	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000
Unsubsidized guaranteed loans	329,500,000	200,000,000	329,500,000
Rural housing site loans (sec. 524)	600,000	600,000	600,000
Rural rental housing loans (sec. 515)	500,000,000	573,900,000	573,900,000
Very low-income repair loans (sec. 504)	11,330,000	11,330,000	11,330,000
Domestic farm labor loans	16,300,000	16,300,000	16,300,000
Credit sales of acquired property	200,000,000	187,000,000	187,000,000
Total, RHIF loan levels	2,352,730,000	2,284,130,000	2,413,630,000

Amendment No. 47: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$313,039,000.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates \$313,039,000 for the total cost of section 502 single-family housing loans instead of \$309,254,000 as proposed by the House and \$310,643,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 48: Provides \$6,096,000 for the cost of section 502 unsubsidized guaranteed loans as proposed by the House instead of \$3,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 49: Appropriates \$4,548,000 for the cost of section 504 housing repair loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$4,578,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 50: Appropriates \$305,602,000 for the cost of section 515 rental housing loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$356,550,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 51: Appropriates \$25,039,000 for the cost of credit sales of acquired property as proposed by the Senate instead of \$26,780,000 as proposed by the House.

The following table reflects the conference agreement on the cost of loan subsidies associated with the Rural Housing Insurance Fund:

#### LOAN SUBSIDIES

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
RHIF Loan Subsidies:			
Single-family (sec. 502):			
Direct	\$303,158,000	\$303,158,000	\$303,158,000
Unsubsidized direct		3,785,000	3,785,000
Unsubsidized guaranteed	6,096,000	3,700,000	6,096,000
Housing repair (sec. 504)	4,578,000	4,548,000	4,548,000
Farm labor (sec. 514)	8,029,000	8,029,000	8,029,000
Rental housing (sec. 515)	356,550,000	305,602,000	305,602,000
Site loans			
Credit sales of acquired property	26,780,000	25,039,000	25,039,000
Total, RHIF loan subsidies	705,191,000	653,861,000	656,257,000

Amendment No. 52: Appropriates \$427,011,000 for administrative expenses in connection with the Rural Housing Insurance Fund loans instead of \$427,111,000 as proposed by the House and \$423,467,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Amendment No. 53: Appropriates \$337,699,000 for the Rental Assistance Program instead of \$319,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$355,498,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 54: Provides \$122,532,000 for newly constructed units instead of \$128,158,000 as proposed by the House and \$115,198,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 55: Provides \$199,034,000 for expiring agreements and for servicing existing units instead of \$174,728,000 as proposed by the House and \$235,997,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### AGRICULTURAL CREDIT INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 56: Provides a total of \$2,563,354,000 for farm operating loans instead of \$2,588,354,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,538,354,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides \$825,000,000 for direct operating loans instead of \$850,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$800,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 57: Provides a total of \$3,752,000 for soil and water loans as proposed by the House instead of \$3,715,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides \$2,337,000 for direct soil and water loans as proposed by the House instead of \$2,300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 58: Provides \$88,000,000 for credit sales of acquired property instead of \$125,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement on the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund loan levels:

#### LOAN LEVELS

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
ACIF Loan Levels:			
Farm ownership loans:			
Direct	\$66,750,000	\$66,750,000	\$66,750,000

## LOAN LEVELS—Continued

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Unsubsidized guaranteed loans	488,750,000	488,750,000	488,750,000
Farm operating loans:			
Direct	850,000,000	800,000,000	825,000,000
Unsubsidized guaranteed loans	1,500,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,500,000,000
Subsidized guaranteed loans	238,254,000	238,354,000	238,354,000
Soil and water loans:			
Direct	2,337,000	2,300,000	2,337,000
Guaranteed	1,415,000	1,415,000	1,415,000
Indian land acquisition	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Emergency loans	115,000,000	115,000,000	115,000,000
Watershed and flood prevention loans	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
Resource conservation and development loans	600,000	600,000	600,000
Credit sales of acquired property	125,000,000	50,000,000	88,000,000
Total, ACIF loan levels	3,393,206,000	3,268,169,000	3,331,206,000

Amendment No. 59: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that loan funds shall be completely allocated to the States and made available for obligation in the first two quarters of fiscal year 1993.

This same provision was included in the fiscal year 1992 Appropriations Act. In fiscal year 1992 the Department issued a press release stating that all loan funds were being made available during the first two quarters of the fiscal year in order to help the farmers and, thereby, stimulate the economy. The conferees hope that the Department will respond as enthusiastically to this provision as it did in fiscal year 1992.

Amendment No. 60: Appropriates \$158,030,000 for the total cost of farm operating loans instead of \$161,765,000 as proposed by the House and \$154,256,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$124,530,000 for the cost of direct farm loans instead of \$128,265,000 as proposed by the House and \$120,756,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 61: Provides \$18,150,000 for the cost of unsubsidized guaranteed farm operating loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$15,350,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 62: Provides \$15,350,000 for the cost of subsidized guaranteed farm operating loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$18,150,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 63: Appropriates \$22,405,000 for the cost of credit sales of acquired property instead of \$31,825,000 as proposed by the House and \$12,730,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement on the cost of loan subsidies associated with the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund:

## LOAN SUBSIDIES

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
ACIF Loan Subsidies:			
Farm ownership:			
Direct	\$13,023,000	\$13,023,000	\$13,023,000
Guaranteed			
unsubsidized	20,576,000	20,576,000	20,576,000
Farm operating:			
Direct	128,265,000	120,756,000	124,530,000
Guaranteed			
unsubsidized	15,350,000	18,150,000	18,150,000
Guaranteed subsidized	18,150,000	15,350,000	15,350,000

## LOAN SUBSIDIES—Continued

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Soil and water:			
Direct	456,000	456,000	456,000
Guaranteed	43,000	43,000	43,000
Indian tribe land acquisition	226,000	226,000	226,000
Emergency disaster	30,762,000	30,762,000	30,762,000
Watershed and flood prevention			
Resource conservation			
Credit sales of acquired property	31,825,000	12,730,000	22,405,000
Total, ACIF loan subsidies	258,676,000	232,072,000	245,521,000

## STATE MEDIATION GRANTS

Amendment No. 64: Appropriates \$3,000,000 for State Mediation Grants instead of \$2,750,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,475,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## RURAL DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 65: Appropriates \$18,616,000 for the cost of loans made under the Rural Development Loan Fund Program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$16,260,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 66: Provides for a loan program level under the Rural Development Loan Fund Program of \$32,500,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$28,387,000 as proposed by the House.

## ALCOHOL FUELS CREDIT GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 67: Reported in technical disagreement. The manager on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter inserted by said amendment, insert:

## ALCOHOL FUELS CREDIT GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of guaranteed lines of credit available pursuant to an emergency declaration as provided at section 321 of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1961), \$9,000,000, to remain available until expended, but not beyond fiscal year 2009: Provided, That such costs shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That these funds are available to establish a guaranteed line of credit program level of \$30,000,000, to remain available until expended, but not beyond fiscal year 2009, which the Department shall make available for the purpose of purchasing grains or cellulosic materials for the production of alcohol fuels at established cooperative facilities as necessary to meet deliveries under contract: Provided further, That a guarantee fee of one percent shall be paid at the time a guarantee is issued.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the credit guarantee program, \$100,000.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$30,000,000 to establish a guaranteed line of credit for the purpose of purchasing grains for the production of alcohol fuels at established cooperatives in the event normal supplies are unavailable. The agreement provides a subsidy level of \$9,000,000 and provides \$100,000 to cover administrative costs.

## RURAL WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL GRANTS

Amendment No. 68: Appropriates \$390,000,000 for Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants instead of \$400,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$381,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 69: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended to read as follows: That of this amount, \$25,000,000 shall be available for water and waste disposal systems to benefit the Colonias along the U.S./Mexico border, including grants pursuant to section 306C: Provided further, That, with the exception of the foregoing \$25,000,000.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement restores House language providing \$25,000,000 for water and waste disposal systems for the Colonias along the U.S./Mexico border, corrects a citation of law, and clarifies the purposes for which the funds are available.

The President of the United States shall urge the appropriate agencies, including the Secretaries of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to develop a comprehensive and coordinated strategy and plan of action for the delivery of assistance and programs to improve the adverse environmental and health problems of the Colonias. Such strategy and plan shall be provided to Congress not later than July 1, 1993.

## MUTUAL AND SELF-HELP HOUSING

Amendment No. 70: Appropriates \$12,750,000 for Mutual and Self-Help Housing as proposed by the Senate instead of \$8,750,000 as proposed by the House.

## SUPERVISORY AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Amendment No. 71: Restores House language and appropriates \$2,500,000 for Supervisory and Technical Assistance Grants.

## RURAL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

Amendment No. 72: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which adds "and 310B(j)" to the legislative citation.

Amendment No. 73: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that \$2,000,000 shall be available for grants to Statewide private, non-profit public television systems in predominantly rural States to provide information and services on rural economics and agriculture. The language also provides that grants made to or to be made to these television systems during fiscal years 1990 through 1992 under the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act shall be deemed to have been made pursuant to section 310B(j) of such Act. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes funds for the grants mentioned in the House report and the Senate bill and report. The conference agreement also includes funds for the project administered by the North Dakota Agricultural Products Utilization Commission at the same level as in fiscal year 1992.

Amendment No. 74: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter inserted by said amendment, insert: : Provided further, That



amounts made available under this heading in fiscal year 1992 shall be available in fiscal year 1993.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes Senate language providing that \$400,000 of the amount made available for Rural Development Grants be available to the Vermont State Colleges. The agreement also extends the availability of funds appropriated in fiscal year 1992, including the grant for the Vermont State College. The conferees expect that grants provided in the fiscal year 1992 Appropriations Act but not obligated in fiscal year 1992 will be obligated in fiscal year 1993.

Amendment No. 75: Deletes Senate language providing that \$400,000 of the amount made available for Rural Development Grants be available to the North Central Kansas Electric Cooperative, Inc., Belleville, Kansas. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 76: Deletes Senate language providing that \$500,000 of the amount made available for Rural Development Grants be available to the City of Seminole, Oklahoma. The House bill contained no similar provision.

#### EMERGENCY COMMUNITY WATER ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Amendment No. 77: Appropriates \$10,000,000 for Emergency Community Water Assistance Grants as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 78: Provides \$679,920,000 for Farmers Home Administration, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$676,426,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 79: Provides for a transfer of \$404,746,000 from the Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account to the Salaries and Expenses Account instead of \$404,846,000 as proposed by the House and \$401,202,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 80: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$100,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for a transfer of \$100,000 from the Alcohol Fuels Credit Guarantee Program Account to the Salaries and Expenses Account instead of \$150,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 81: Provides that \$4,242,000 shall be available for contracting with the National Rural Water Association or other equally qualified national organization for a circuit rider program instead of \$3,985,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 82: Deletes Senate language providing that none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to relocate the Hawaii State Office of the Farmers Home Administration from Hilo, Hawaii, to Honolulu, Hawaii. The conferees will expect the Department to maintain the State Office in Hilo.

Amendment No. 83: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate

which provides that the Department shall establish and maintain a Farmers Home Administration State Office in Nevada. The House bill contained no similar provision.

#### RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELEPHONE LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 84: Provides not less than \$239,250,000 for rural telephone loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$219,325,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 85: Deletes House language providing that loans may be modified in an amount not to exceed \$266,000,000.

The following table reflects the conference agreement for loans from the Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund:

#### LOAN LEVELS

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
<b>RETRF Loan Levels:</b>			
<b>Electric loans:</b>			
REIA insured .....	\$625,035,000	\$625,035,000	\$625,035,000
FFB insured .....	813,450,000	813,450,000	813,450,000
<b>Total, electric</b> .....	<b>1,438,485,000</b>	<b>1,438,485,000</b>	<b>1,438,485,000</b>
<b>Telephone loans:</b>			
REIA insured .....	219,325,000	239,250,000	239,250,000
FFB insured .....	119,625,000	119,625,000	119,625,000
<b>Total, telephone</b> .....	<b>338,950,000</b>	<b>358,875,000</b>	<b>358,875,000</b>
<b>Modified direct loans</b> .....	<b>266,000,000</b>		
<b>Total, RETRF loan levels</b> .....	<b>2,043,435,000</b>	<b>1,797,360,000</b>	<b>1,797,360,000</b>

Amendment No. 86: Deletes Senate language providing that the Secretary of Agriculture shall submit a report to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate that proposes program participant criteria for electric and telephone borrowers under the Rural Electrification Act of 1936.

Amendment No. 87: Deletes House language providing that no funds appropriated in this Act may be used to deny or reduce loans or loan advances based on a borrower's level of general funds. This provision has been enacted into permanent law and is no longer required in the Appropriations Act.

Amendment No. 88: Deletes Senate language regarding Rural Electrification Administration borrowers. The conferees direct that, in the case of a borrower that prior to June 1, 1992, made an investment in a subsidiary involving coal gasification, the retained earnings of its coal and gas subsidiaries shall not be counted against the limitation of section 312 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 940b), and the borrower shall not be required to raise its rates to offset any loss of such subsidiaries if the retained earnings of such subsidiaries exceed the amount of any loss, unless the Administrator of the Rural Electrification Administration has determined that without such rate increase, the borrower will be unable to repay loans made or guaranteed under the Act. Furthermore, the conferees expect to be notified of any change to this directive.

Amendment No. 89: Appropriates \$161,269,000 for the cost of direct loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$157,609,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 90: Appropriates \$35,388,000 for the cost of guaranteed loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$35,475,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement also deletes House language appropriating \$47,880,000 for the cost of loan modifications.

The following table reflects the conference agreement on the cost of loan subsidies associated with the Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund:

#### LOAN SUBSIDIES

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
<b>RETRF Loan Subsidies:</b>			
<b>Direct loans:</b>			
Electric .....	\$117,319,000	\$117,319,000	\$117,319,000
Telephone .....	40,290,000	43,950,000	43,950,000
REIA-FFB loans .....	35,475,000	35,388,000	35,388,000
Modified direct loans .....	47,880,000		
<b>Total, RETRF loan subsidies</b> .....	<b>240,964,000</b>	<b>196,657,000</b>	<b>196,657,000</b>

Amendment No. 91: Appropriates \$29,163,000 for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs as proposed by the House instead of \$30,330,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### RURAL TELEPHONE BANK PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 92: Appropriates \$8,632,000 for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the loan programs of the Rural Telephone Bank as proposed by the House instead of \$8,977,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 93: Provides \$12,389,000 for loans from the Rural Economic Development Loans Program Account instead of \$9,215,000 as proposed by the House and \$15,563,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 94: Appropriates \$3,423,000 for the cost of direct loans instead of \$2,546,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees expect a quarterly report on the operation of this subaccount, including the number and dollar amount of applications received, pending, approved, and rejected, to be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 95: Provides \$37,795,000 for Rural Electrification Administration, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$39,307,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 96: Provides for a transfer of \$29,163,000 from the Rural Electrification and Telephone Loans Program Account to the Salaries and Expenses Account as proposed by the House instead of \$30,330,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 97: Provides for a transfer of \$8,632,000 from the Rural Telephone Bank Program Account to the Salaries and Expenses Account as proposed by the House instead of \$8,977,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### TITLE IV—DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS

##### FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

##### CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

Amendment No. 98: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$6,826,553,000

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides a total of \$6,826,553,000 for the Child Nutrition Programs, including a transfer of funds from

section 32, instead of \$6,674,521,000 as proposed by the House and \$6,767,484,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement reflects the Administration's increased mid-session review estimates for mandatory programs.

Amendment No. 99: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$2,536,098,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,536,098,000 for the Child Nutrition Programs instead of \$2,384,066,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,477,029,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement reflects the Administration's increased mid-session review estimates for mandatory programs.

Amendment No. 100: Provides that up to \$3,780,000 shall be available for independent verification of school food service claims as proposed by the Senate instead of \$4,083,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 101: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: *\$1,661,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that \$1,661,000 shall be available to provide financial and other assistance to operate the Food Service Management Institute instead of \$1,322,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for the Child Nutrition Programs at the following annual rates:

## TOTAL OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Child Nutrition Programs:			
School lunch program .....	\$3,959,805,000	\$4,055,221,000	\$4,055,221,000
School breakfast program .....	813,540,000	843,770,000	902,428,000
State administrative expenses .....	77,931,000	77,086,000	77,086,000

## TOTAL OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY—Continued

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Summer food service program .....	203,200,000	215,651,000	215,651,000
Child care food program .....	1,388,065,000	1,331,399,000	1,331,399,000
Commodity procurement .....	212,740,000	223,492,000	223,492,000
Nutrition studies and surveys .....	3,835,000	3,085,000	3,835,000
Nutrition education and training .....	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Federal review system .....	4,083,000	3,780,000	3,780,000
Food Service Management Institute .....	1,322,000	2,000,000	1,661,000
Dietary guidelines .....		2,000,000	2,000,000
Total .....	6,674,521,000	6,767,484,000	6,826,553,000

## SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

Amendment No. 102: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that up to \$3,000,000 may be used to carry out the farmer's market coupon demonstration project. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 103: Deletes Senate language earmarking funds under the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC). The House bill contained no similar provision. The conferees expect the Department to continue its efforts to address the program needs of the weed and seed initiative, a program to promote neighborhood revitalization and to reclaim the neighborhoods embattled by drugs and crime.

## FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

Amendment No. 104: Appropriates \$28,115,357,000 for the Food Stamp Program instead of \$26,719,691,000 as proposed by the House and \$29,051,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that \$500,000, the same amount as the budget request, is available to provide competitive nutrition education grants consistent with section 1761 of Public Law 101-624.

The conference agreement also includes \$1,000,000 to fund outreach programs to food stamp recipients, as authorized by section 1759 of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990.

## FOOD DONATIONS PROGRAMS FOR SELECTED GROUPS

Amendment No. 105: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and

concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that funds remain available through September 30, 1994.

## TITLE V—FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED PROGRAMS

## FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE

## MARKET PROMOTION PROGRAM

The conferees strongly urge the Department to consider developing a dry bean demonstration program, including consideration of a proposal by the Michigan dry bean industry, the National Dry Bean Council, or other industry groups, to bring prospective buyers to the United States for meeting and seminars, to conduct market intelligence, and to perform trade servicing through quality control and trade service seminars.

## PUBLIC LAW 480 PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 106: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$509,996,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$509,996,000 for Public Law 480 title I direct loans instead of \$511,619,000 as proposed by the House and \$538,295,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 107: Appropriates \$45,280,000 for ocean freight differential costs instead of \$52,185,000 as proposed by the House and \$43,064,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 108: Appropriates \$810,000,000 for title II commodities as proposed by the Senate instead of \$763,842,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 109: Appropriates \$333,594,000 for title III grants as proposed by the House instead of \$344,269,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 110: Appropriates \$342,003,000 for the cost of direct loans instead of \$317,800,000 as proposed by the House and \$360,981,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 111: Appropriates \$2,503,000 for administrative expenses of the Public Law 480 Program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$1,815,000 as proposed by the House.

## DEBT RESTRUCTURING UNDER THE ENTERPRISE FOR THE AMERICAS

Amendment No. 112: Appropriates \$40,000,000 for the cost of modifying direct credit agreements instead of \$69,531,000 as proposed by the House and \$13,183,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement on the Public Law 480 Program:

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
TITLE I—CREDIT SALES			
Program level .....	(\$563,804,000)	(\$581,359,000)	(\$555,276,000)
Direct loans .....	(511,619,000)	(538,295,000)	(509,966,000)
Ocean freight differential .....	52,185,000	43,064,000	45,280,000
TITLE II—COMMODITIES FOR DISPOSITION ABROAD			
Program level .....	(763,842,000)	(810,000,000)	(810,000,000)
Appropriation .....	763,842,000	810,000,000	810,000,000
TITLE III—COMMODITY GRANTS			
Program level .....	(333,594,000)	(344,269,000)	(333,594,000)
Appropriation .....	333,594,000	344,269,000	333,594,000
Loan subsidies .....	317,800,000	360,981,000	342,003,000
Debt restructuring .....	69,531,000	13,183,000	40,000,000
Salaries and expenses:			
General Sales Manager .....	1,242,000	1,467,000	1,467,000
ASCS .....	573,000	1,063,000	1,036,000
Subtotal .....	1,815,000	2,503,000	2,503,000
Total, Public Law 480:			
Program level .....	(1,661,240,000)	(1,735,628,000)	(1,698,870,000)



## PUBLIC LAW 480—Continued

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Appropriation	1,538,767,000	1,574,000,000	1,573,380,000

## TITLE VI—RELATED AGENCIES AND FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 113: Appropriates \$746,035,000 for the Food and Drug Administration. Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$744,135,000 as proposed by the House.

The conferees direct the Food and Drug Administration to publish the notice in response to the petitions filed with the agency related to traditional carrageenan and PNG carrageenan on or before October 31, 1992.

Amendment No. 114: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that \$1,900,000 of the funds available to the Food and Drug Administration shall be available to fund a clinical pharmacology pilot program. The House bill contained no similar provision.

## INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

## FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

## LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Amendment No. 115: Provides a limitation of \$39,908,000 for the Farm Credit Administration as proposed by the Senate instead of \$38,686,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 116: Provides a limitation of \$2,000,000 for the Office of the General Counsel of the Farm Credit Administration as proposed by the Senate instead of \$1,853,000 as proposed by the House.

## TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 117: Limits the Market Promotion Program to \$147,734,000 instead of \$170,700,000 as proposed by the House and \$170,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The Market Promotion Program should focus its resources on promoting value-added agricultural exports to maximize job creation. The Market Promotion Program should make certain that the content of agricultural products it promotes is predominantly U.S. grown and manufactured. Promotion funds should be allotted to U.S.-based participants which export agricultural products and should encourage smaller, medium-sized, and new-to-export participants. The Department is expected to review marketing plans submitted to assure that products are predominantly U.S. grown and manufactured. The conferees view the Market Promotion Program as a great resource for U.S. agriculture and the Nation.

The conferees expect the Department to complete the evaluation of the Market Promotion Program, as directed by the Senate report, by February 1, 1993.

Amendment No. 118: Restores House language providing that no funds in the Act are available to enroll additional acres in the Wetlands Reserve Program.

Amendment No. 119: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended to read as follows:

SEC. 730. For loan guarantees authorized under sections 1465-1469 of Public Law 101-624 for the Agricultural Resource Conservation

Demonstration Program, \$10,000,000. For the cost, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, \$3,644,000: Provided, That, hereafter, no other funds are available in this or any other Act to carry out this program, other than those provided for in advance in Appropriations Acts, except for the cost of administering the program: Provided further, That such limitation shall not apply with respect to the duties and obligations of the Secretary regarding any loan or note guarantees, interest assistance agreements, or other understandings entered into during fiscal year 1992, and the personnel of the Department shall carry out the duties and obligations of the Secretary, and any other requirements imposed on the Secretary regarding such Agricultural Resource Conservation Demonstration Loan Program with respect to the loan made and guaranteed in 1992.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 in loans and \$3,644,000 in subsidy costs for a nationwide program under the Agricultural Resource Conservation Demonstration Program. The conferees note that Vermont is the only State where the program is operational and expect the Department to consider seriously giving Vermont priority in administering this program. The conference agreement also provides that funds will be available only to the extent provided in advance in Appropriations Acts.

The action of the conferees does nothing to impact the fiscal year 1992 obligations under the program.

Amendment No. 120: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

731. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act shall be used to pay the salaries of personnel who carry out a program within the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service for the purchase of computer hardware and software and other costs in support of long-range Information Resources Management objectives in Automated Data Processing if the aggregate amount of funds transferred by the Commodity Credit Corporation to the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service for such purchases exceeds \$52,400,000.

## SEC. 732

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement adds and restores a section number and adds language limiting the amount of funds that can be withdrawn from the Commodity Credit Corporation by the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service for computer hardware and software.

Amendment No. 121: Deletes House language reducing numerous accounts in the bill.

Amendment No. 122: Deletes Senate language providing that not to exceed \$4,000,000 may be used to implement section 1458 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977. A feasibility study is provided under Cooperative State Research Service, Buildings and Facilities.

Amendment No. 123: Deletes Senate language providing various findings by the Senate and a sense of the Senate regarding the Social Security earnings test.

Amendment No. 124: Deletes Senate language providing that an applicant for assistance provided with funds appropriated under this Act shall provide to the Internal Revenue Service information described in section 6109 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and that upon a written request from the Director of the Office of Management and Budget or the Director of the Congressional Budget Office, certain information be provided by the Internal Revenue Service.

## CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1993 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1992 amount, the 1993 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1993 follow:

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1992 .....	\$52,526,238,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1993 .....	60,381,222,000
House bill, fiscal year 1993 .....	58,907,757,212
Senate bill, fiscal year 1993 .....	61,427,332,000
Conference agreement, fiscal year 1993 .....	60,547,821,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1992 .....	+8,021,583,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1993 .....	+166,599,000
House bill, fiscal year 1993 .....	+\$1,640,063,788
Senate bill, fiscal year 1993 .....	-879,511,000

JAMIE L. WHITTEN,  
MATTHEW F. MCHUGH,  
WILLIAM H. NATCHER,  
RICHARD J. DURBIN,  
MARCY KAPTUR,  
DAVID E. PRICE,  
R.J. MRAZEK,  
NEAL SMITH,  
JOE SKEEN,  
JOHN T. MYERS,  
VIN WEBER,  
BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH,  
JOSEPH M. MCDADE,

Managers on the Part of the House.

QUENTIN N. BURDICK,  
DALE BUMPERS,  
TOM HARKIN,  
BROCK ADAMS,  
WYCHE FOWLER, Jr.,  
J. ROBERT KERREY,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
ROBERT W. KASTEN, Jr.,  
ARLEN SPECTER,  
DON NICKLES,  
CHRISTOPHER S. BOND,  
MARK O. HATFIELD,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.